DATA ANALYSIS PROCESS TEMPLATE: GUIDING QUESTIONS

DATA SOURCE	RESEARCH	RECALL	RESOURCES E	REFLECT REFLECT	RESPOND
Identify and use appropriate data sources.	Using the data sources available, report the facts. Look for trends or areas of concern. Look for areas of success. This is an objective data discovery.	Focusing on the prior school year(s), recall the realities of classroom, school, district program, practice, and policies. What happened? Facts only – no conjecture.	Use the information contained in the supporting documents to bridge the conversation between recalling and reflecting.	Connect performance with prior year practices, programs, and policies. Consider possible reasons. Be honest about what occurred last year and how that may have impacted the performance that you observe.	What might be some possible ways to move forward? These responses should tie directly to what was discovered in the reflection process.
Scale Scores (Reports Used?) Achievement Levels (Reports Used?)	 What is the overall scale score of the group being studied? How does the group's overall score compare to the comparison group (e.g., how do particular ethnic groups compare to the All Students group)? What is the change in overall scale score from last year to this year? What is the corresponding achievement level for this group? How does that compare with the comparison group's achievement level? What percentage of students scores fall in each of the achievement levels? How does that distribution compare with the comparison group? 	 What materials were in place last year to support the ELA California state standards? How was the curriculum sequenced? What professional learning opportunities were made available for teachers? How many teachers participated in those learning opportunities? What needs did students bring to the classrooms? What was communicated to students, teachers, and parents regarding the expectations of the assessment? 	 What do the related threshold or range achievement level descriptors tell us about what students should know or be able to do at the Standard Met level? What do the related item specifications, and evidence required, suggest about students' scores? What do the related content specifications suggest about students scores? What types of evidence are available from local student performance measures? What artifacts exist to surface information about communication, expectations, etc.? 	 How did the current materials support the learning required to demonstrate evidence of mastery of the standards? What impact might the sequencing of the curriculum have had on student scores? How effectively did the professional learning opportunities support teachers (and students) with content relevant to the evidence required? How were the unique needs of the students in this group addressed? In what ways and how frequently 	 What additional materials might be needed? How might we modify our existing sequencing? How might the professional learning plan for next year be more responsive to staff/student needs? How might we restructure our teacher collaboration time to address some of the issues? How might we address the unmet unique needs of this student group? What existing structures (leadership, planning, and
Claim Levels (Reports Used?) Target Levels (Reports Used?)	 Looking at patterns of performance, how does the group being studied compare with other groups? Are there strong differences? What stands out as a critical weakness/strength? Looking at patterns of performance, how does the group being studied compare with other groups? Are there strong differences? What stands out as a critical weakness/strength? 			 did we expose students and teachers to the item types students see on the test? How was the work that students were asked to do aligned with the evidence descriptions? If not strongly, why not? In what ways and how effectively did we communicate the expectations of the assessment to our students, teachers, parents, communities? 	 collaboration) might need adjustment to support student needs? How can evidence collected in the classroom be more closely aligned to the evidence required for college and career readiness? How can expectations be more effectively communicated to students and family?

